

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: David Hobson et al.

CUSTOMER NO.: 26645

Serial No.: 10/598,577

Docket No.: 3345-01

Filed: January 16, 2007

Examiner: GRAHAM, Chantel L.

Art Unit: 1797

Title: HIGH SOLIDS CONTENT DISPERSIONS

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence (including any paper or fee referred to herein) is being electronically transmitted on the date indicated below and addressed to: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, on

/ Denise Szanyi /
Denise Szanyi

June 10, 2011
Date

**Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

Sir:

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Dear Sir:

This is Applicant's summary of a telephone interview on May 12th, 2011 at about 11 AM EST for the captioned application.

Present: For the PTO

Examiner Chantel GRAHAM

Examiner Michael A. MARCHESCHI

Examiner Ellen M. MCAVOY

For Applicant

Attorney Samuel LAFERTY

Inventor David HOBSON

Inventor Alex PSAILA

Agent William ELLYATT

This interview was arranged by Applicant so that it could explain the differences between the Forsberg technologies and the current application. Examiner Chantel GRAHAM arranged for a video conference room so Applicant could supply video images and photographs of some laboratory comparisons (similar to the previously submitted Declaration in the application but in visual form). We started out discussing claims 1 and 2 relative to the prior art and the limitations of less than 2% water in Applicant's claims. The Examiners point out that Applicant's claims are only limited to the low water content in the organic phase.

Then David Hobson narrated the video and photographic images. David showed several micrographs where particles, according to the Forsberg reference, were compared to a 50 micron bar and particles according the captioned application were compared to a 50 micron bar.

Sam explained that our commercial interests were focused on a) lithium dispersions for making greases thickened with lithium salts (like lithium stearate) and b) bases like magnesium hydroxide for neutralizing combustion acids in internal combustion engines.

The Examiners pointed out that they considered the limitations on viscosity in Applicants independent claims may be effective in distinguishing from the Forsberg patent. The parties discussed viscosities of Newtonian fluids and non-Newtonian (where the resistance to shear varies non-linearly with the shear rates). The Examiners were interested in seeing more details about the viscosity of the Forsberg samples prepared by Applicant and how those compared to the viscosities of the examples in the captioned application.

This is the sum and substance of the interview. Video, photograph, and micrograph exhibits were supplied or discussed. No agreement with respect to the allowability of the claims was reached.

Docket No. 3345-01
Serial No. 10/598,577
June 10, 2011
Page 3

The Commissioner is authorized to charge the required fees for filing this response from
The Lubrizol Corporation Deposit Account No. 12-2275.

Respectfully submitted,

/Samuel B. Laferty/

Samuel B. Laferty
Registration No. 31,537

The Lubrizol Corporation
Attn: Docket Clerk, Patent Dept.
29400 Lakeland Boulevard
Wickliffe, 44092-2298
Telephone: (440) 347-1541
Facsimile: (440) 347-1110
E-mail: sam.laferty@lubrizol.com